Dasheng: A Recently Amplified Nonautonomous Long Terminal Repeat Element That Is a Major Component of Pericentromeric Regions in Rice

Ning Jiang,* Zhirong Bao,[†] Svetlana Temnykh,[‡] Zhukuan Cheng,[§] Jiming Jiang,[§] Rod A. Wing,** Susan R. McCouch[‡] and Susan R. Wessler^{*,1}

*Departments of Plant Biology and Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, [†]Department of Genetics, Washington University, Saint Louis, Missouri 63110, [†]Department of Plant Breeding, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853, [§]Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706 and **Clemson University Genomics Institute, Clemson, South Carolina 29634

> Manuscript received December 5, 2001 Accepted for publication April 23, 2002

ABSTRACT

A new and unusual family of LTR elements, *Dasheng*, has been discovered in the genome of *Oryza sativa* following database searches of ~ 100 Mb of rice genomic sequence and 78 Mb of BAC-end sequence information. With all of the *cis*-elements but none of the coding domains normally associated with retrotransposons (*e.g., gag, pol*), *Dasheng* is a novel nonautonomous LTR element with high copy number. Over half of the ~ 1000 *Dasheng* elements in the rice genome are full length (5.6–8.6 kb), and 60% are estimated to have amplified in the past 500,000 years. Using a modified AFLP technique called transposon display, 215 elements were mapped to all 12 rice chromosomes. Interestingly, more than half of the mapped elements are clustered in the heterochromatic regions around centromeres. The distribution pattern was further confirmed by FISH analysis. Despite clustering in heterochromatin, *Dasheng* elements are not nested, suggesting their potential value as molecular markers for these marker-poor regions. Taken together, *Dasheng* is one of the highest-copy-number LTR elements and one of the most recent elements to amplify in the rice genome.

TRANSPOSABLE elements (TEs) have been divided into two classes, class 1 or RNA elements and class 2 or DNA elements. An RNA intermediate and a replicative mechanism of transposition are involved in the transposition of class 1 elements (LEWIN 1997). RNA elements can be further divided into several groups, including long terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons, long interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs) and short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs). RNA elements are capable of attaining very high copy numbers because the element-encoded mRNA, not the element itself, forms the transposition intermediate.

LTR retrotransposons make up the largest fraction of most plant genomes (KUMAR and BENNETZEN 1999). The LTRs usually contain the initiation and termination sites of a transcript that encodes at least two genes, *gag* and *pol*. The products of these genes are involved in the different steps of retrotransposition, including reverse transcription and integration (LEWIN 1997; Figure 1). Immediately internal to the LTR is the primer binding site (PBS) and the polypurine tract (PPT). Both are important *cis*-elements that are necessary for the initiation of the synthesis of element DNA from the RNA intermediate. LTR elements are classified into two types on the basis of the order of their encoded genes: *Ty1*/

Genetics 161: 1293–1305 (July 2002)

copia and *Ty3/gypsy* elements (XIONG and EICKBUSH 1990). Both are prevalent in plant genomes (VOYTAS *et al.* 1992; SUONIEMI *et al.* 1998).

Differential amplification of LTR retrotransposons has been shown to be largely responsible for the C-value paradox in members of the grass clade (CHEN et al. 1997; SANMIGUEL and BENNETZEN 1998; DUBCOVSKY et al. 2001). The C-value paradox refers to the lack of correlation between the genome size and the biological complexity of an organism (THOMAS 1971). For example, rice (Oryza sativa) and barley (Hordeum vulgare) have roughly the same number of genes and a largely conserved gene order (MOORE et al. 1995; DUBCOVSKY et al. 2001). The 11-fold difference in the size of their genomes (430 vs. 4800 Mb) is due, in part, to the fact that retrotransposons comprise more than half of the barley genome and only 14% of the rice genome (VICIENT et al. 1999; TARCHINI et al. 2000). With the International Rice Genome Sequencing Program scheduled for completion in less than a year (MYERS 2001), new insights about the identity and frequency of different TE families will emerge.

Despite its small genome, rice is still a model organism for the study of transposable elements. The genome of *O. sativa* contains all of the major types of elements found in the larger grass genomes, including retrotransposons, miniature inverted repeat transposable elements (MITEs), and other DNA elements (BUREAU *et al.* 1996; MAO *et al.* 2000; TARCHINI *et al.* 2000; TURCOTTE *et al.* 2001). Furthermore, the availability of several well-

¹Corresponding author: Departments of Plant Biology and Genetics, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602. E-mail: sue@dogwood.botany.uga.edu

characterized wild relatives provides the material necessary to analyze the impact of TEs on genome evolution and speciation. O. sativa is composed of two cultivated subspecies (*indica* and *japonica*) with thousands of diverse cultivars distributed worldwide. The genus Oryza has >20 species whose evolutionary relationships have been the subject of several phylogenetic analyses (Uozo et al. 1997; GE et al. 1999; SHARMA et al. 2000).

In this study, database searches of ~ 100 Mb of rice genomic sequence and 78 Mb of bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) end sequence led to the identification of a new and unusual family of LTR elements called Dasheng. Dasheng is a very recently amplified family of 800-1300 nonautonomous elements, making it one of the most recently amplified and highest-copy-number families in rice. The family also includes $\sim 16\%$ solo LTRs. Like many other high-copy-number LTR elements, Dasheng elements are concentrated in the gene-poor pericentromeric regions of the chromosomes, which might be the reason for Dasheng's success in the small genome of rice. The availability of large amounts of genomic sequence and an almost completely assembled chromosome 1 has allowed us to address questions regarding the distribution and timing of insertion events and to test models that explain the formation of solo LTRs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and DNA extraction: A doubled haploid (DH) mapping population (GUIDERDONI *et al.* 1992; HUANG and KOCHERT 1994) was used in conjunction with an existing SSR mapping data set (TEMNYKH *et al.* 2001) to map *Dasheng* elements. This population consisted of a subset of 96 doubled haploid lines derived via anther culture from the inter-subspecific cross between IR64 (*O. sativa* ssp. *indica*) and Azucena (tropical *japonica*). Other rice cultivars and wild species were obtained from the McCouch lab (Cornell University) and Gary Kochert (University of Georgia). Plant DNA was extracted as described (MCCOUCH *et al.* 1988).

Genetic mapping: Transposon display was performed as described in CASA *et al.* (2000) to generate segregation patterns in the DH population with the following modifications. The element-specific primers were derived from the LTR sequence of *Dasheng* and the reaction was performed with rice DNAs. The final annealing temperature for selective amplification was 58° with ³³P-labeled *Dasheng* primer. Sequences of primers are available upon request. DNA fragments from transposon display were excised and cloned as described (CASA *et al.* 2000). DNA templates were sequenced by the Molecular Genetics Instrumentation Facility (University of Georgia).

The gel images of transposon display with DNAs from the DH mapping population were scored manually for presence/ absence of polymorphic bands corresponding to *Dasheng* elements. The *Dasheng* markers were integrated into the SSR framework map using the Kosambi mapping function and MapMaker 3.0 software (LANDER *et al.* 1987). Markers with a ripple of LOD > 2.0 were integrated into the framework maps and those mapping with LOD < 2.0 were assigned to the most likely intervals.

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis: Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) analysis was performed as previously

described (JIANG *et al.* 1995) using Nipponbare and *indica* cultivar Zhongxian 3037. The *Dasheng* probe (Figure 1) was labeled with biotin-16-UTP and detected using a fluorescein-5-isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated antibiotin antibody (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Propidium iodide in an antifade solution was used to counterstain the chromosomes. Chromosome and FISH signal images were captured using a SenSys charge-coupled device camera (Photometrics, Tucson, AZ) and analyzed using IPLab Spectrum software (Signal Analytics, Vienna, VA).

DNA sequence analysis: DNA sequence analyses (pairwise comparisons, multiple sequence alignments, sequence assembling, and formatting) were performed with programs in the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group program suite (version 10.1) accessed through Research Computing Resources, University of Georgia.

Identification of repetitive sequences from BAC ends: All sequences in the rice BAC end database (*O. sativa* cv. Nipponbare) were downloaded from the website of Clemson University Genome Institute (http://www.genome.clemson.edu) for the initial analysis (August 1999). An all vs. all comparison was performed with the sequences using WUBLASTN (http://blast. wustl.edu) with parameters M = 5, N = -11, Q = 22, R =11, -kap E = 0.001, -hspmax 5000). Groups with highest intragroup similarities (>95%) were further characterized with BLAST search in the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) server (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

Identifying transposable elements in genomic sequence: The sequences of rice BACs and PACs were downloaded from the web sites of different rice genome projects including groups in the United States (http://www.usricegenome.org/), Japan (http://rgp.dna.affrc.go.jp/), Korea (http://bioserve.myongji. ac.kr/ricemac.html), People's Republic of China (http://www. ncgr.ac.cn/Ls/index.html), and Taiwan (http://genome.sinica. edu.tw/). Completely sequenced PACs or BACs and those in annotation and finishing (phase 2) were used as query sequences to search for transposable elements with Repeat-Masker (A. Smit and P. Green, http://ftp.genome.washington.edu/RM/webrepeatmaskerhelp.html) as described (JIANG and WESSLER 2001).

Copy-number determination: The copy number of *Dasheng* was estimated in three ways:

- By blasting BAC ends using LTR sequence as a query. Using this method, copy number = matches in BAC ends × 430 Mb (rice genome size) ÷ the size of the BAC ends database (in megabases).
- 2. By probing a rice BAC library [derived from Nipponbare (MAO *et al.* 2000)] with a 500-bp fragment located between the third tract of direct repeats and the PPT (see Figure 1). Using this method, copy number of *Dasheng* elements = (number positive clones ÷ number of BACs screened) × 430 Mb ÷ average size of BACs (in megabases). The raw value, estimated to be 700 elements per haploid genome, was corrected for the number of solo LTRs (16%), BACs and PACs containing two or more elements (12% of the positive clones), and truncated elements (30%). The corrected copy number was 900–1300 (depending on the percentage of truncated elements detected).
- 3. By screening the genomic sequence with RepeatMasker followed by manual examination. The copy number = number of elements in genomic sequences \times 430 Mb \div total size of the genomic sequence screened.

The copy number of other rice LTR elements (elements reported previously and those identified in this study) was estimated by blasting the BAC end database and GenBank (NCBI BLAST server) with LTR sequences. Low score matches $(e > 10^{-30})$ from GenBank were checked manually to deter-



FIGURE 1.—Comparison of Dasheng with a typical autonomous LTR retrotransposon. Coding regions are shown as hatched boxes. CP, capsid-like proteins; PR, protease; INT, integrase; RT, reverse transcriptase; RH, RNase H. The relative order of RT, RH, and INT varies with different types of elements (see text). Other sequences indicated are: LTR, long terminal repeat; PBS, primer binding site; PPT, polypurine tract; NA, nucleic acid binding moiety. Arrows above the LTR of Das-

heng indicate the positions of transposon-specific primers (Pr1 and Pr2) for transposon display. These would be used for PCR with the adapter primer shown (see Figure 2 and text).

mine whether the matches represented the element. The copy number for each element = matches for this element \times 430 Mb \div total size of the rice genomic sequence in GenBank.

Phylogenetic analysis and aging of elements: LTR nucleotide sequences homologous to Dasheng and RIRE2 were aligned using GCG (see above). Tree production and bootstrap analyses were performed using PAUP version 4.0. Sequence similarities and standard error were calculated with MEGA program (KUMAR et al. 2001). Full-length elements were aged (as in SANMIGUEL et al. 1998) by comparing their 5' and 3' LTR sequences. Kimura-2 parameter distances (K) between 5' and 3' LTRs of individual elements were calculated using MEGA. An average substitution rate (r) of 6.5×10^{-9} substitutions per synonymous site per year for grasses (GAUT et al. 1996) was used to calibrate the ages of the elements. The time (T) since element insertion was estimated using the following formula: $T = K \div 2r$. Fifty-percent consensus sequences were determined from group-specific alignments using the EMBL consensus sequence server (http://www.bork. embl-heidelberg.de/Alignment/consensus.html).

The distribution of *Dasheng* elements in genomic sequences: The distribution of *Dasheng* on chromosome 1 of Nipponbare was constructed according to the positions of PACs and BACs that contained *Dasheng* elements (http://rgp.dna.affrc.go.jp/). Estimates of physical:genetic distance and insertion frequency were based on the data provided by the Rice Genome Research Program (http://rgp.dna.affrc.go.jp/) at the time of analysis. DNA density for chromosomal arms and pericentromeric regions was calculated from the total DNA of three contigs (20.2–34.5 cM and 40–50 cM in arms, 60–70 cM in pericentromeric regions) on chromosome 1. The borders of pericentromeric regions were defined as 15 cM from the center of the centromere on each arm. The position of the centromere was according to HARUSHIMA *et al.* (1998) and CHENG *et al.* (2001a). The remainder of the chromosome was defined as arms. Physical:genetic distance equals the physical length of DNA in base pairs divided by the map units covered. Insertion frequency equals the number of elements found in a certain region divided by the physical length of DNA in that region. The total amount of DNA was the size of all the clones minus overlap.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A nonautonomous LTR element with very high copy number: To identify repeat sequences that might be novel transposable elements, we performed an all vs. all comparison with BAC end sequences of rice (O. sativa ssp. *japonica* cv. Nipponbare; see MATERIALS AND METHODS for details). Several groups of BAC ends were distinguished by their high within-group sequence similarity (~95%). The sequence of each group was then used as a query to perform further searches in GenBank. Significant matches for all groups were found in an 8.6kb segment of a PAC clone from rice chromosome 6 (GenBank accession no. AB023482). This region has the structural features of an LTR retroelement including a long terminal repeat (441 bp with 99.5% sequence

TABLE 1Polymorphism detected in the IR64 \times Azucena mapping population

Adapter primer/enzyme combination	No. of amplified fragments			07
	Monomorphic	Polymorphic	Total	polymorphic
Dasheng-BfaI + A	8	43	51	84.3
Dasheng-BfaI + C	14	45	59	76.3
Dasheng-BfaI + G	9	47	56	83.9
Dasheng-MseI + A	18	58	76	76.3
Dasheng-MseI + G	9	36	45	80.0
Dasheng-MseI + T	15	53	68	77.9
Total	73	282	355	79.4



FIGURE 2.—Autoradiograph of *Dasheng* display with DNAs from *O. sativa* and other Oryza species. For all reactions, the transposon-specific primer was Pr2. (A) *Dasheng* display with DNAs from the IR64 × Azucena doubled haploid mapping population using adapter primer *Msel* + T; (B) *Dasheng* display with DNAs from Azucena and Nipponbare, two *japonica* cultivars, using adapter primer *Bfal* + C; (C) *Dasheng* display with DNAs from Bs125 and PI1439404, two *indica* cultivars, using adapter primer *Msel* + A; (D) *Dasheng* display with DNAs from eight other Oryza species using adapter primer *Msel* + TG.

similarity), an adjacent putative PBS and PPT, and a 5-bp target site duplication flanking the LTR (Figure 1). The 441-bp LTR is related (65–70% sequence similarity) to the LTR of *RIRE2*, a previously described *Ty3/* gypsy type LTR element in rice (OHTSUBO *et al.* 1999). In addition, the two elements also have similar PBSs and PPTs that differ only at 1 or 2 out of 15 nucleotides.

Despite having structural features of LTR retrotransposons, the 7.8-kb region between the LTR contains only very short open reading frames with no similarity to known proteins. Instead, ~ 4 kb of this region is composed of tandem repeats of an 89- to 90-bp unit (Figure 1). The other part of the internal region includes a hypervariable domain (of 0–1.2 kb) located between the PBS and the first tract of tandem repeats (Figure 1). The lack of coding capacity suggests that this element is most likely nonautonomous.

The copy number of this element family (named Dasheng) was estimated in three ways (see below and MATE-RIALS AND METHODS for details). On the basis of the prevalence of the LTR sequence in BAC ends [150 hits in 78 Mb of *Hin*dIII- and *Eco*RI-digested sequences ($e \leq$ (10^{-15})], we estimate that ~800 copies of *Dasheng* are in the genome of cv. Nipponbare. To test whether the prevalence of Dasheng in BAC ends is representative of the rest of the genome, a BAC library of the cv. Nipponbare genome was screened with a Dasheng probe. This experiment led to a copy number estimate of 900-1300. In contrast, a search of \sim 100 Mb of publicly available assembled genomic sequence led to a copy number determination of 470 per haploid genome or approximately one element per megabase. The two- to threefold difference in the values obtained from BAC screening and BAC end sequences vs. genomic sequence may be due to the fact that the latter is biased toward gene-rich regions, whereas several LTR retrotransposon families are enriched in pericentromeric regions of the genome (MILLER et al. 1998; LANGDON et al. 2000; NONOMURA and KURATA 2001; also see below).

The copy number of *Dasheng* was also compared with that of other LTR elements in rice. This was done by querying the BAC end and genomic sequence databases with LTRs from several high-copy-number rice elements previously described and elements identified in this study (see MATERIALS AND METHODS). As with the searches using Dasheng sequences as queries, the results were inconsistent from one database to the other. The average values obtained (from BAC ends and from genomic sequence) were, in descending order of copy number, Retrosat2 (1080; GenBank accession no. AF111709), Bajie (730; identified in this study), RIRE4 (730; KUMEKAWA et al. 1999), SZ-19 (725; identified in this study), Dasheng (635), RIRE8 (620; KUMEKAWA et al. 1999), RIRE3 (510; KUMEKAWA et al. 1999), RIRE2 (420; OHTSUBO et al. 1999), RIRE9 (115; HAN et al. 2000; LI et al. 2000), and RCS1 (90; DONG et al. 1998).

In a prior study, dot blot hybridization led to a copy

FIGURE 3.—Genetic map of rice (*O. sativa*) with *Dasheng* and framework markers. *Dasheng* markers from Azucena and IR64 are in red and green, respectively. *Dasheng* markers with a ripple of LOD > 2.0 were integrated into the framework map (in boldface type). *Dasheng* markers that cosegregate with a framework marker with absolute linkage are connected to this framework marker by a horizontal or slanted line. Vertical lines indicate possible intervals for *Dasheng* markers that are mapped with low LOD scores. Centromeres are indicated by purple boxes. The position of centromeres in this map is based on TEMNYKH *et al.* (2001), except for that in chromosome 10, which is based on CHENG *et al.* (2001a). Also shown for chromosome 11 is a diagram of the distribution of heterochromatic regions (indicated by solid ovals; the open circle in the middle represents the centromere; CHENG *et al.* 2001b).







RG418A













number determination for the *RIRE2* family of 10,000 in IR36 (OHTSUBO *et al.* 1999). In contrast, we found that the number of hits using *RIRE2* sequences was no higher than that found for *Dasheng*. The striking discrepancy may be due to the presence of distantly related families, a frequent cause of copy number overestimation when employing hybridization methods (MEY-ERS *et al.* 2001).

The chromosomal location of Dasheng elements: Genetic mapping of Dasheng elements: To determine the chromosomal distribution of Dasheng, family members were mapped using a technique called transposon display, which is a modification of the amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) procedure that generates PCR products anchored in a transposable element and a flanking restriction site (WAUGH et al. 1997; VAN DEN BROECK et al. 1998; CASA et al. 2000). The number of fragments amplified in one reaction can be adjusted by adding extra bases to the adapter primer (so-called selective bases); fewer fragments will be detected with more selective bases (Vos et al. 1995). Transposon display has the added advantage of detecting solo LTRs since the transposon-specific primers are located within the LTR (Figure 1, Pr1 and Pr2).

Dasheng primers were designed so as not to recognize the related RIRE2 elements. Insertion site polymorphism, as defined by the presence of a PCR product in one parent but not in the other, was high for the parents (IR64 and Azucena), varying from 76.3 to 84.3% for different adapter primer/enzyme combinations in this inter-subspecific cross (Table 1; Figure 2A). High levels of polymorphism were also detected within indica and japonica subspecies (Figure 2, B and C), indicating that Dasheng elements can serve as a valuable marker system. Several wild species of rice were also tested with the same enzyme but they needed more selective bases (MseI + T)for O. sativa; MseI + TG for wild species; Figure 2, A and D). The multiple fragments detected in the wild species indicate that Dasheng is also abundant in these genomes (Figure 2D).

The chromosomal location of polymorphic bands was determined by integrating segregation patterns into a previously constructed framework map consisting of 432 SSRs (TEMNYKH *et al.* 2001). The map was based on a population of doubled haploid lines, derived from a cross between IR64 (*indica*) and Azucena (*japonica*). In this study, a total of 215 bands (128 from Azucena and 87 from IR64) from six primer-enzyme combinations were assigned to all 12 chromosomes (Figure 3). Cloning and sequencing of 20 bands provided confirmation that all fragments were amplified from element-containing loci. For this reason, the mapped bands will be referred to as *Dasheng* markers.

Dasheng markers cluster around all centromeres and on the long arm of chromosome 11. We define a cluster as three or more elements mapping to the same site or to adjacent loci with an average distance of <1 cM. On the basis of this definition, >50% (120) of the elements were clustered in regions that account for only 3% of the total map distance. The largest clusters of markers were on chromosomes 4, 8, 9, and 12, which all correspond to small chromosomes containing prominent blocks of highly condensed chromatin (FUKUI and IIJIMA 1991). The correlation of *Dasheng* clusters and the distribution of heterochromatin is best seen in chromosome 11, where significantly more elements were observed in the distal region on the long arm than in the pericentromeric region (Figure 3). The distal region of chromosome 11 is one of the most heterochromatic regions in the rice genome (CHENG *et al.* 2001b).

FISH analysis: The mapped elements represent only $\sim 20\%$ of the Dasheng family. FISH analysis was performed to ascertain whether the entire family shows similar clustering. To this end, an internal fragment of Dasheng (Figure 1) was used as a FISH probe with chromosomes prepared from cv. Nipponbare and Zhongxian 3037, an indica cultivar (Figure 4). In agreement with the mapping results, the majority of the FISH signal concentrated in pericentromeric regions with the most intense signals located on several small chromosomes. One of the small chromosomes with an intense signal was unambiguously identified as chromosome 4 on the basis of its distinctive arm ratio. This chromosome was previously found to contain one of the most heterochromatic regions in the rice genome (CHENG et al. 2001b). The absence of an exceptionally large cluster of elements on our genetic map could be due to the use of different strains for the genetic mapping vs. the cytogenetic analysis. Alternatively, since the genetic map reflects only polymorphic insertion sites, most of the elements on chromosome 4 may not be polymorphic in this mapping population.

Distribution of Dasheng on chromosome 1: At the time of this study, $\sim 30\%$ of rice genomic sequence was publicly available, including almost the entire chromosome 1. To provide a direct physical measure of how densely clustered the elements are on chromosome 1, the positions of all Dasheng were determined from the genomic sequence. The actual distribution of Dasheng elements on a single chromosome permits a determination of whether the apparent clustering of Dasheng in pericentromeric regions on the genetic map might instead be an artifact of the lower recombination rate in these regions (MOORE and SHERMAN 1975). In other words, 1 cM may contain far more DNA around the centromeres, and this would give the appearance of clustering on a genetic map even if the insertion frequency is the same, in physical terms, as that in the gene-rich chromosome arms. To test this notion, we dissected chromosome 1 into pericentromeric regions and chromosome arms and calculated both physical:genetic distance and insertion frequency of Dasheng elements in different regions (see MATERIALS AND METHODS for details). Consistent with the low recombination ratio in pericentromeric

Nipponbare (japonica)



FIGURE 4.—FISH analysis of *Dasheng* distribution in rice mitotic chromosomes. *Dasheng* probes were detected by fluorescein isothiocyanate-conjugated antibiotin antibody (green); chromosomes were stained with propidium iodide (red). Arrows point to the strong signal of *Dasheng* on the short arm of chromosome 4 in Nipponbare (see text for details).

Zhongxian 3037 (indica)

regions, the ratio of physical:genetic distance was roughly three times higher (660 kb DNA/cM) in pericentromeric regions compared to chromosome arms (206 kb DNA/cM; P < 0.05). However, more significant is the variation in the insertion frequency, which was about five times higher in the pericentromeric regions than in the arms (1.9 vs. 0.4 elements/Mb DNA, P < 0.01). These data confirm the higher density of *Dasheng* elements in pericentromeric regions.

Dasheng elements are not nested: LTR retrotransposons are commonly found in large clusters in the genomes of grasses. In many instances, these clusters are composed of LTR retrotransposons inserted into other members of the same family (like BARE-1; SHIRASU et al. 2000) or into elements of other families (SANMIGUEL et al. 1998). Two rice LTR elements, RIRE3 and RIRE8, were previously found to be nested (KUMEKAWA et al. 1999). Although the density of Dasheng in the rice genome ($\sim 1000 \text{ copies}/430 \text{ Mb}$; this study) is comparable to that of BARE-1 in barley (14,000 copies/4800 Mb; VICIENT et al. 1999), nested insertions of Dasheng elements were not observed. Only 6 out of 109 Dasheng elements are located within 10 kb of another Dasheng element, and the shortest distance between two Dasheng elements was 1.6 kb. As such, the clustering of *Dasheng* is unlikely to be due to a self-insertion preference, as has been observed for some retrotransposons and MITEs (HIGASHIYAMA et al. 1997; JIANG and WESSLER 2001).

Since the pericentromeric regions are enriched in repetitive sequences, including transposable elements

(DONG et al. 1998; LANGDON et al. 2000; NONOMURA and KURATA 2001), the clustering of *Dasheng* could also be attributed to an insertion preference for other repetitive DNA, such as microsatellites or other transposable elements (CHRISTENSEN et al. 2000). To address this question, sequences flanking all *Dasheng* elements in the database were used as queries in computer-assisted searches. Of the 109 elements, 19 were found within an identifiable transposable element, 24 were located within 100 bp of an element, and about one-half were associated with low-copy-number sequences. Among this latter group, none showed significant similarity with a comprehensive database of rice TEs (N. JIANG and S. WESSLER, unpublished data).

In addition, unlike *RCS1*, *RIRE3*, and *RIRE8*, three other high-copy-number LTR retrotransposons in rice (DONG *et al.* 1998; KUMEKAWA *et al.* 1999; LANGDON *et al.* 2000; NONOMURA and KURATA 2001), *Dasheng* elements were not flanked by the *RCS2* centromere repeat (DONG *et al.* 1998), indicating that *Dasheng* is not a centromeric component. However, over half of the 215 *Dasheng* markers described in this study are located in pericentromeric regions. Since *Dasheng* elements do not specifically insert into other repetitive sequences, these markers may prove useful in the construction of fine structure maps of rice pericentromeric regions. Other cloning strategies frequently miss such genes.

Recent amplification of *Dasheng: Evidence from LTR similarity:* Since the LTR of a single retrotransposon is

identical upon insertion (LEWIN 1997), sequence divergence between LTRs provides a measure of the time of insertion when an estimate of the nucleotide substitution rate is available (SANMIGUEL et al. 1998; BOWEN and McDonald 2001). The average substitution rate in the *adh1* and *adh2* loci of grasses $(6.5 \times 10^{-9} \text{ substitu-}$ tions per synonymous site per year) has been used to estimate the time of insertion of maize retrotransposons (GAUT et al. 1996; SANMIGUEL et al. 1998). In this study, a search of the 100 Mb of publicly available rice sequence led to the identification of 109 Dasheng elements of which 60 were full length (56%), 32 were truncated (28%), and 17 were solo LTRs (16%). Among the 60 full-length elements, 35 (58%) have >99.5% LTR similarity, with 15 being identical. In the discussions that follow, these elements are referred to as "recent." LTR sequence similarity of the other 25 elements varies from 92.7 to 99.1%. By using the same base substitution rate as SANMIGUEL et al. (1998), we estimate that the Dasheng elements with >99.5% LTR identity (58% of the available full-length elements) inserted within the last 500,000 years. This is a conservative estimate because LTRs evolve more rapidly than coding regions like adh1 and adh2 (SANMIGUEL et al. 1998) and because reverse transcription is known to be an error-prone process. Based on a comparison with other high-copy-number LTR elements in rice, the Dasheng family has the highest ratio of elements with identical LTRs (15 out of 109; N. JIANG and S. WESSLER, unpublished data). Thus, Dasheng may have amplified more recently than all other high-copy-number elements in the rice genome.

A hypervariable region and tandem repeats: A phylogenetic tree was constructed on the basis of the LTR sequences of *Dasheng* elements and some *RIRE2* elements (Figure 5) and used to evaluate whether other structural features of *Dasheng* correlated with recently amplified elements. Of particular interest were a hypervariable region, the tandem repeats, and the solo LTRs.

As mentioned above, the hypervariable region is located between the PBS and the first tract of tandem repeats (Figure 1). This region consists of a common sequence shared by many or a few elements (no sequence is shared by all elements) and a unique sequence. A similarly organized region of sequence heterogeneity was reported for the Stonor elements of maize (MARILLONNET and WESSLER 1998). Interestingly, more than half of the recent elements (19 out of 35) have the same sequence in this region (see Figure 5 for branch lengths of elements labeled with an asterisk), suggesting that the recent amplification of Dasheng could be due largely to the transposition of elements in this subgroup. In addition, the average length of the tandem repeat region in this group is significantly longer than that of other elements (3.7 vs. 2.2 kb, t < 0.001). These data do not permit a determination of whether younger elements have more repeats or if repeats are gradually deleting from the older elements.

Evolution of *Dasheng* elements: *Targeted insertion vs. negative selection:* Having both complete sequences of the element and precise chromosomal locations permits a preliminary determination of whether the clustering of *Dasheng* elements in the pericentromeric region is due to targeted insertion into the gene-rich arms or postinsertion selection. If *Dasheng* shows no target site preference, but elements are lost over time from the arms, the arms should contain more recent insertions than the pericentromeric regions. However, no significant difference is seen in the number of recent insertions in arms *vs.* pericentromeric regions. In chromosomal arms, 6 out of 27 (22%) full-length elements have identical LTRs, whereas in pericentromeric regions, 9 out of 33 (27%) have identical LTRs (χ^2 test; P > 0.50).

Origin of solo LTRs: Solo LTRs are believed to arise from intraelement recombination between transiently paired LTRs (PARKET *et al.* 1995). Recently, the formation of solo LTRs in barley has been proposed as a mechanism that can reverse genome expansion (SHIR-ASU *et al.* 2000). With 16% of the sequenced elements present as solo LTRs, the *Dasheng* family provides a unique opportunity to address questions about the formation of solo LTRs by analyzing both their age and their distribution relative to full-length family members.

At least two models can account for the formation of solo LTRs. If it is a stochastic process, older insertions are more likely to have undergone recombination and the distribution of solo LTRs should be no different than the distribution of full-length elements. On the other hand, since solo LTRs arise from intraelement recombination, the frequency of solo LTR formation might correlate with regional recombination frequencies. In this case, there would be relatively fewer solo LTRs in the centromeric and pericentromeric regions where recombination rates are much lower than those in the gene-rich chromosomal arms (MOORE and SHER-MAN 1975). As can be seen in Figure 5, solo LTRs are associated more often with the longer branches, indicating that they are older, on average, than the full-length elements (0.0437 vs. 0.0187, t < 0.001). In addition, the ratio of solo LTRs to full-length elements in the arms (8:27) is only slightly higher than that in pericentromeric regions (6: 33), and the difference is not significant (P > 0.10 by χ^2 test). Taken together, these data suggest that solo LTR formation in the Dasheng family is probably a stochastic process.

Concluding remarks: In this study, we characterized an unusual LTR element in rice. As a special category of LTR elements, *Dasheng* is distinguished by its (1) lack of coding capacity, (2) presence of long tracts of tandem repeats, (3) clustering in heterochromatic regions, (4) high copy number, and (5) recent amplification.

Since *Dasheng* is among the rice elements of highest copy number and most recent amplification, it is of great interest to know if members of the *Dasheng* family are still capable of retrotransposition. To date, activity



- 0.01 substitutions/site

FIGURE 5.—Phylogenetic analysis of LTR sequences of Dasheng and some RIRE2 elements using the neighbor-joining algorithm from distance matrices. Branch length is proportional to genetic distance. Bootstrap values >50 are indicated as a percentage of 1000 replicates. "Dasheng or RIRE2 solo LTRs" indicates that the sequence similarity of these solo LTRs to the Dasheng consensus is not significantly different from that of RIRE2 consensus by t-test.

has not been demonstrated for any of the high-copynumber LTR retrotransposons in rice. The only active rice elements (such as Tos17) are present in less than five copies and are activated to retrotranspose by tissue culture (HIROCHIKA et al. 1996; AGRAWAL et al. 2001; YAMAZAKI et al. 2001). In fact, although LTR retrotransposons are the major component of most plant genomes, the only high-copy-number LTR retrotransposon with demonstrated activity is BARE-1 from barley (SUONIEMI et al. 1996; JAASKELAINEN et al. 1999). As such, it is the only genomic component known to be capable of contributing significantly to genome size variation between populations and related species in plants (KALENDAR et al. 2000). Like BARE-1 in barley, Dasheng is a major component of the genome of cultivated rice, O. sativa. In addition, a preliminary survey indicates that Dasheng is probably abundant in all species of the genus Oryza (Figure 2). For these reasons it will be important for future studies to determine whether Dasheng elements are still capable of transposition.

The origin of the Dasheng is also of interest since it is a nonautonomous class 1 element. Nonautonomous transposable elements are widespread in eukaryotic organisms. For DNA elements and non-LTR retrotransposons, the copy number of nonautonomous elements is usually much higher than that of the corresponding autonomous element (KAPITONOV and JURKA 1999; FES-CHOTTE and MOUCHÈS 2000; INTERNATIONAL HUMAN GENOME SEQUENCING CONSORTIUM 2001). Unlike other classes of nonautonomous elements, only a few highcopy-number LTR elements have been characterized. The only other plant element is the maize Zeon-1, which has a copy number of 6000-32,000 (MEYERS et al. 2001). However, unlike Dasheng, Zeon-1 is one of the oldest elements in the maize genome (Hu et al. 1995; SANMIGUEL et al. 1998). As such, it will be difficult, if not impossible, to deduce what autonomous element could be responsible for the amplification of Zeon-1. In contrast, the availability of most of the rice genome sequence coupled with the recent amplification of Dasheng facilitates a comprehensive analysis of autonomous elements that could have given rise to Dasheng. At this time, the RIRE2 family with its related LTRs, as well as the presence of some recently amplified members, is the best candidate. Further studies are underway to establish additional connections between these two LTR element families.

We thank I. King Jordan (National Institutes of Health and National Library of Medicine, NCBI, Bethesda, MD) and Nathan J. Bowen (National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD) for assistance in sequence and phylogenetic analysis and Xiaoyu Zhang for critical reading of the manuscript. This study was supported by grants from the U.S. Department of Energy (DEFG02-94ER20135) and the National Science Foundation (DBI-0077709) to S.R.W.

LITERATURE CITED

AGRAWAL, G. K., M. YAMAZAKI, M. KOBAYASHI, R. HIROCHIKA, A. MIYAO *et al.*, 2001 Screening of the rice viviparous mutants

generated by endogenous retrotransposon *Tos17* insertion. Tagging of a zeaxanthin epoxidase gene and a novel OsTATC gene. Plant Physiol. **125:** 1248–1257.

- BOWEN, N. J., and J. F. MCDONALD, 2001 Drosophila euchromatic LTR retrotransposons are much younger than the host species in which they reside. Genome Res. 11: 1527–1540.
- BUREAU, T. E., P. C. RONALD and S. R. WESSLER, 1996 A computerbased systematic survey reveals the predominance of small inverted-repeat elements in wildtype rice genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 8524–8529.
- CASA, A. M., C. BROUWER, A. NAGEL, L. WANG, Q. ZHANG *et al.*, 2000 The MITE family heartbreaker (*Hbr*): molecular markers in maize. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **97**: 10083–10089.
- CHEN, M. P., P. SANMIGUEL, A. C. DE OLIVEIRA, S. S. WOO, H. ZHANG et al., 1997 Microcolinearity in sh2-homologous regions of the maize, rice and sorghum genomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94: 3431–3455.
- CHENG, Z., G. G. PRESTING, C. R. BUELL, R. A. WING and J. JIANG, 2001a High-resolution pachytene chromosome mapping of bacterial artificial chromosomes anchored by genetic markers reveals the centromere location and the distribution of genetic recombination along chromosome 10 of rice. Genetics 157: 1749–1757.
- CHENG, Z., R. BUELL, R. A.WING, M. GU and J. JIANG, 2001b Towards a cytological characterization of the rice genome. Genome Res. 11: 2133–2141.
- CHRISTENSEN, S., G. PONT-KINGDON and D. CARROLL, 2000 Target specificity of the endonuclease from the *Xenopus laevis* non-long terminal repeat retrotransposon, *Tx1L*. Mol. Cell. Biol. 20: 1219– 1226.
- DONG, F., J. T. MILLER, S. A. JACKSON, G. L. WANG, P. C. RONALD et al., 1998 Rice (*Oryza sativa*) centromeric regions consist of complex DNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 95: 8135–8140.
- DUBCOVSKY, J., W. RAMAKRISHNA, P. J. SANMIGUEL, C. S. BUSSO, L. YAN et al., 2001 Comparative sequence analysis of colinear barley and rice bacterial artificial chromosomes. Plant Physiol. 125: 1342–1353.
- FESCHOTTE, C., and C. MOUCHÈS, 2000 Evidence that a family of miniature inverted-repeat transposable elements (MITEs) from the Arabidopsis thaliana genome has arisen from a pogo-like DNA transposon. Mol. Biol. Evol. 17: 730–737.
- FUKUI, K., and K. IIJIMA, 1991 Somatic chromosome map of rice by imaging methods. Theor. Appl. Genet. 81: 589–596.
- GAUT, B. S., B. R. MORTON, B. C. MCCAIG and M. T. CLEGG, 1996 Substitution rate comparisons between grasses and palms: synonymous rate differences at the nuclear gene *Adh* parallel rate differences at the plastid gene rbcL. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 10274–10279.
- GE, S., T. SANG, B.-R. LU and D.-Y. HONG, 1999 Phylogeny of rice genomes with emphasis on origins of allotetroaploid species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96: 14400–14405.
- GUIDERDONI, E., E. GALINATO, J. LUISTRA and G. VERGARRO, 1992 Anther culture of tropical *japonica* × *indica* hybrids of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Euphytica **62:** 219–224.
- HAN, C. G., M. J. FRANK, H. OHTSUBO and E. OHTSUBO, 2000 New transposable elements identified as insertions in rice transposon *Tnr1*. Genes Genet. Syst. **75**: 69–77.
- HARUSHIMA, Y., M. JANO, A. SHOMURA, M. SATO, T. SHIMANO *et al.*, 1998 A high-density rice genetic linkage map with 2275 markers using a single F₂ population. Genetics **148**: 479–494.
- HIGASHIYAMA, T., Y. NOUTOSHI, M. FUJIE and T. YAMADA, 1997 Zepp, a LINE-like retrotransposon accumulated in the *Chlorella* telemetric region. EMBO J. 16: 3715–3723.
- HIROCHIKA, H., K. SUGIMOTO, Y. OTSUKI and M. KANDA, 1996 Retrotransposons of rice involved in mutations induced by tissue culture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93: 7783–7788.
- Hu, W. M., O. P. DAS and J. MESSING, 1995 Zeon-1, a member of a new maize retrotransposon family. Mol. Gen. Genet. 248: 471–480.
- HUANG, H., and G. KOCHERT, 1994 Comparative RFLP mapping of an allotetraploid wild rice species (*Oryza latifolia*) and cultivated rice (*O. sativa*). Plant Mol. Biol. **25:** 633–648.
- INTERNATIONAL HUMAN GENOME SEQUENCING CONSORTIUM, 2001 Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. Nature **409:** 860–921.
- JAASKELAINEN, M., A. H. MYKKANEN, T. ARNA, C. M. VICIENT, A. SUONIEMI *et al.*, 1999 Retrotransposon *BARE-1*: expression of

encoded proteins and formation of virus-like particles in barley cells. Plant J. **20:** 413–422.

- JIANG, J., B. S. GILL, G. L. WANG, P. C. RONALD and D. C. WARD, 1995 Metaphase and interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization mapping of the rice genome with bacterial artificial chromosomes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92: 4487–4491.
- JIANG, N., and S. R. WESSLER, 2001 Insertion preference of maize and rice miniature inverted repeat transposable elements as revealed by the analysis of nested elements. Plant Cell 13: 2553– 2564.
- KALENDAR, R., J. TANSKANEN, S. IMMONEN, E. NEVO and A. H. SCHUL-MAN, 2000 Genome evolution of wild barley (*Hordeum spontaneum*) by *BARE-*1 retrotransposon dynamics in response to sharp microclimatic divergence. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 6603– 6607.
- KAPITONOV, V. V., and J. JURKA, 1999 Molecular paleontology of transposable elements from Arabidopsis thaliana. Genetica 107: 27–37.
- KUMAR, A., and J. L. BENNETZEN, 1999 Plant retrotransposons. Annu. Rev. Genet. 33: 479–532.
- KUMAR, S., K. TAMURA, I. B. JAKOBSEN and M. NEI, 2001 MEGA2: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Software, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ.
- KUMEKAWA, N., H. OHTSUBO, T. HORIUCHI and E. OHTSUBO, 1999 Identification and characterization of novel retrotransposons of the gypsy type in rice. Mol. Gen. Genet. 260: 593–602.
- LANDER, E. S., P. GREEN, J. ABRAHAMSON, A. BARLOW, M. J. DALY et al., 1987 MAPMAKER: an interactive computer package for constructing primary genetic linkage maps of experimental and natural populations. Genomics 1: 174–181.
- LANGDON, T., C. SEAGO, M. MENDE, M. LEGGETT, H. THOMAS *et al.*, 2000 Retrotransposon evolution in diverse plant genomes. Genetics **156**: 313–325.
- LEWIN, B., 1997 Genes VI. Oxford University Press, New York.
- LI, Z. Y., S. Y. CHEN, X. W. ZHENG and L. H. ZHU, 2000 Identification and chromosomal localization of a transcriptionally active retrotransposon of *Ty3-gyps*y type in rice. Genome **43**: 404–408.
- MAO, L., T. C. WOOD, Y. YU, M. A. BUDIMAN, J. TOMKINS *et al.*, 2000 Rice transposable elements: a survey of 73,000 sequence-taggedconnectors. Genome Res. **10**: 982–990.
- MARILLONNET, S., and S. R. WESSLER, 1998 Extreme structural heterogeneity among the members of a maize retrotransposon family. Genetics 150: 1245–1256.
- MCCOUCH, S. R., G. KOCHERT, Z. H. YU, G. S. KHUSH, W. R. COFFMAN et al., 1988 Molecular mapping of rice chromosomes. Theor. Appl. Genet. 76: 815–829.
- MEYERS, B. C., S. V. TINGEY and M. MORGANTE, 2001 Abundance, distribution, and transcriptional activity of repetitive elements in the maize genome. Genome Res. 11: 1660–1676.
- MILLER, J. T., F. DONG, S. A. JACKSON, J. SONG and J. JIANG, 1998 Retrotransposon-related DNA sequences in the centromeres of grass chromosomes. Genetics 150: 1615–1623.
- MOORE, C. W., and F. SHERMAN, 1975 Role of DNA sequences in genetic recombination in the iso-1-cytochrome c gene of yeast.
 I. Discrepancies between physical distances and genetic distances determined by five mapping procedures. Genetics **79**: 397–418.
- MOORE, G., T. FOOTE, T. HELENTJARIS, K. DEVOS, N. KURATA *et al.*, 1995 Was there a single ancestral cereal chromosome? Trends Genet. **11:** 81–82.
- MYERS, T., 2001 Rice genome consortium will finish ahead of schedule. Nature **409**: 752.
- NONOMURA, K., and N. KURATA, 2001 The centromere composition of multiple repetitive sequences on rice chromosome 5. Chromosoma 110: 284–291.
- OHTSUBO, H., N. KUMEKAWA and E. OHTSUBO, 1999 RIRE2, a novel gypsy-type retrotransposon from rice. Genes Genet. Syst. 74: 83–91.

- PARKET, A., O. INBAR and M. KUPIEC, 1995 Recombination of *Ty* elements in yeast can be induced by a double-strand break. Genetics 140: 67–77.
- SANMIGUEL, P., and J. L. BENNETZEN, 1998 Evidence that a recent increase in maize genome size was caused by the massive amplification of intergene retrotransposons. Ann. Bot. 81: 37–44.
- SANMIGUEL, P., B. S. GAUT, A. TIKHONOV, Y. NAKAJIMA and J. L. BENNETZEN, 1998 The paleontology of intergene retrotransposons of maize. Nat. Genet. 20: 43–45.
- SHARMA, S. D., S. R. DHU and P. K. AGARWAL, 2000 Species of genus Oryza and their interrelationships, pp. 311–346 in *Rice Breeding* and *Genetics*, edited by J. S. NANDA. Science Publisher, Enfield, NH.
- SHIRASU, K., A. H. SCHULMAN, T. LAHAYE and P. SCHULZE-LEFERT, 2000 A contiguous 66 kb barley DNA sequence provides evidence for reversible genome expansion. Genome Res. 10: 908– 915.
- SUONIEMI, A., A. NARVANTO and A. H. SCHULMAN, 1996 The BARE-1 retrotransposon is transcribed in barley from an LTR promoter active in transient assays. Plant Mol. Biol. 31: 295–306.
- SUONIEMI, A., J. TANSKANEN and A. H. SCHULMAN, 1998 *Gypsy*-like retrotransposons are widespread in the plant kingdom. Plant J. 13: 699–705.
- TARCHINI, R., P. BIDDLE, R. WINELAND, S. TINGEY and A. RAFALSKI, 2000 The complete sequence of 340 kb of DNA around the rice Adhl-adh2 region reveals interrupted linearity with maize chromosome 4. Plant Cell **12**: 381–391.
- TEMNYKH, S., G. DECLERCK, A. LUKASHOVA, L. LIPOVICH, S. CARTIN-HOUR *et al.*, 2001 Computational and experimental analysis of microsatellites in rice (*Oryza sativa L.*): frequency, length variation, transposon associations, and genetic marker potential. Genome Res. 11: 1441–1452.
- THOMAS, C. A., 1971 The genetic organization of chromosomes. Annu. Rev. Genet. **5:** 237–256.
- TURCOTTE, K., S. SRINIVASAN and T. BUREAU, 2001 Survey of transposable elements from rice genomic sequences. Plant J. 25: 169– 179.
- UOZO, S., H. IKEHASHI, N. OHMIDO, H. OHTSUBO, E. OHTSUBO *et al.*, 1997 Repetitive sequences: cause for variation in genome size and chromosome morphology in the genus Oryza. Plant Mol. Biol. **35:** 791–799.
- VAN DEN BROECK, D., T. MAES, M. SAUER, J. ZETHOF, P. DE KEUKELEIRE et al., 1998 Transposon display identifies individual transposable elements in high copy number lines. Plant J. 13: 121–129.
- VICIENT, C. M., A. SUONIEMI, K. ANAMTHAWAT-JONSSON, J. TANSKA-NEN, A. BEHARAV *et al.*, 1999 Retrotransposon *BARE-1* and its role in genome evolution in the genus *Hordeum*. Plant Cell 11: 1769–1784.
- Vos, P., R. HOGERS, M. BLEEKER, M. REIJANS, T. VAN DE LEE *et al.*, 1995 AFLP, a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res. 23: 4407–4414.
- VOYTAS, D. F., M. P. CUMMINGS, A. KONIECZNY, F. M. AUSUBEL and S. R. RODERMEL, 1992 *copia*-like retrotransposons are ubiquitous among plants. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89: 7124–7128.
- WAUGH, R., K. MCLEAN, A. J. FLAVELL, S. R. PEARCE, A. KUMAR et al., 1997 Genetic distribution of Bare-1-like retrotransposable elements in the barley genome revealed by sequence-specific amplification polymorphisms (S-SAP). Mol. Gen. Genet. 253: 687–694.
- XIONG, Y., and T. H. EICKBUSH, 1990 Origin and evolution of retroelements based upon their reverse transcriptase sequences. EMBO J. 9: 3353–3362.
- YAMAZAKI, M., H. TSUGAWA, A. MIYAO, M. YANO, J. WU et al., 2001 The rice retrotransposon Tos17 prefers low-copy-number sequences as integration targets. Mol. Gen. Genet. 265: 336–344.

Communicating editor: C. S. GASSER